DG)
IN CLERK'S OFFICE S. DISTRICT COURT, E.D.N.Y.
ROOKLYN OFFICE

MEMORANDUM & ORDER APPROVING FOURTH SET OF 149 NO MATCH DECISIONS CERTIFIED BY THE CLAIMS RESOLUTION TRIBUNAL

KORMAN, C.J.:

As provided under the Settlement Agreement, and in accordance with the procedures established in the December 8, 2000 Memorandum & Order and in the Plan of Allocation and Distribution of Settlement Proceeds (Distribution Plan), the Claims Resolution Tribunal (the "CRT"), is hereby requesting the Court's approval, pursuant to Article 31(2) of the Rules Governing the Claims Resolution Process, as amended (the "Rules"), of 149 "No Match" Decisions listed in Annex A to this Order, which have been certified by the CRT.

The CRT operates under the authority and supervision of this Court for the purpose of analyzing the claims submitted under the Settlement Agreement by members of the Deposited Assets Class. Under Article 19(1) of the Rules, "[a]ll Admissible Claims of Victims or their heirs, and all Admissible Claims of Victims or their heirs submitted to the CRT by the New York State Holocaust Claims Processing Office, shall be matched with the Account History Databases and Accounts published in 1997." The Rules define matching as "...the process of comparing computer databases of names of Victims and/or Claimants with names of Account Owners using algorithms to identify exact name matches, near-exact name matches, and name matches with

confirming factors under procedures used in the ICEP investigation." Under Article 22(2), the rules further provide that "[a]n Award may be made of the value of an Account in favor of a Claimant if:

- a) the Claimant has identified a person with precisely the same name as the Account Owner, or the Claimant has accurately identified a person with substantially the similar name as the Account Owner or a credible pseudonym, and where applicable, has provided a plausible explanation for the difference in names; and
- b) the CRT is satisfied that the information provided by the Claimant is consistent with unpublished information in the bank records..."

In all these 149 cases the CRT has determined that the claimants failed to meet the criteria as described in Article 22(2) of the Rules and that no accounts could be located in the Account History Database belonging to any of the persons or entities listed in the submitted Claims. Accordingly, these claims are considered closed.

Annex A attached to this Order lists the 149 "No Match" Decisions certified as such by the CRT because these Claims failed to meet the criteria for making awards, as defined by Article 22(2) of the Rules.

Therefore, it is hereby

ORDERED that the 149 "No Match" Decisions listed in Annex A are hereby approved and shall be distributed to the relevant Claimants by the CRT.

It is further ordered that the CRT shall provide the Court with the name and address of every Claimant receiving a No Match Decision, which information shall be filed with the Court under seal.

Dated:

Brooklyn, New York

June /2, 2006

SO ORDERED:

s/Edward R. Korman

Edward R. Korman United States District Judge